# Theoretical Computer Science Context-Free Languages

Jonas Hübotter

# Outline

#### Overview

### Context-Free Grammar (CFG)

Variables Inductive Definition Decomposition Lemma Syntax Tree Chomsky Normal Form Other Normal Forms Cocke-Younger-Kasami Algorithm (CYK)

Pushdown Automaton (PDA)

Lemmas  $CFG \rightarrow PDA$   $PDA \rightarrow CFG$ Deterministic Puchdown (

Deterministic Pushdown Automaton (DPDA)

**Closure Properties** 

Pumping Lemma



#### Representations of context-free languages

### Overview

Representations of context-free languages

• Context-Free Grammar (CFG)

### Overview

#### Representations of context-free languages

- Context-Free Grammar (CFG)
- Pushdown Automaton (PDA)

### Variables

#### Definition 1

Given a grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ , a variable  $X \in V$  is

• generative if 
$$\exists X \to_{\mathcal{G}}^* w \in \Sigma^*$$

## Variables

#### Definition 1

Given a grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ , a variable  $X \in V$  is

- generative if  $\exists X \rightarrow^*_{\mathcal{G}} w \in \Sigma^*$ ;
- reachable if  $\exists S \to^*_G X$

## Variables

#### Definition 1

Given a grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ , a variable  $X \in V$  is

- generative if  $\exists X \rightarrow^*_{\mathcal{G}} w \in \Sigma^*$ ;
- reachable if  $\exists S \to_{G}^{*} X$ ; and
- helpful if it is generative and reachable.

Given a context-free grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  with  $V = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ ,

Given a context-free grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  with  $V = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ , productions  $A_i \rightarrow w_0 A_{i_1} w_1 \ldots w_{n-1} A_{i_n} w_n$ 

Given a context-free grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  with  $V = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ , productions  $A_i \rightarrow w_0 A_{i_1} w_1 \ldots w_{n-1} A_{i_n} w_n$  correspond to

$$u_1 \in L_G(A_{i_1}) \land \cdots \land u_n \in L_G(A_{i_n}) \\ \implies w_0 u_1 w_1 \dots w_{n-1} u_n w_n \in L_G(A_i).$$

Given a context-free grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  with  $V = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ , productions  $A_i \rightarrow w_0 A_{i_1} w_1 \ldots w_{n-1} A_{i_n} w_n$  correspond to

$$u_1 \in L_G(A_{i_1}) \land \cdots \land u_n \in L_G(A_{i_n})$$
  
$$\implies w_0 u_1 w_1 \dots w_{n-1} u_n w_n \in L_G(A_i).$$

Hence,  $L(G) = L_G(S)$ .

Given a context-free grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  with  $V = \{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ , productions  $A_i \rightarrow w_0 A_{i_1} w_1 \ldots w_{n-1} A_{i_n} w_n$  correspond to

$$u_1 \in L_G(A_{i_1}) \land \cdots \land u_n \in L_G(A_{i_n}) \\ \implies w_0 u_1 w_1 \ldots w_{n-1} u_n w_n \in L_G(A_i).$$

Hence,  $L(G) = L_G(S)$ .

Productions produce words top-down, inductive definition *produces* words bottom-up.

### Lemma 2 (Decomposition Lemma)

Any derivation of length n of  $\beta$  from  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$  may split  $\beta$  into two separately derivable parts  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  at any position.

#### Lemma 2 (Decomposition Lemma)

Any derivation of length n of  $\beta$  from  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$  may split  $\beta$  into two separately derivable parts  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  at any position. Formally:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \to_G^n \beta & \Longleftrightarrow \exists \beta_1, \beta_2, n_1, n_2. \ \beta = \beta_1 \beta_2 \wedge n = n_1 + n_2 \wedge \\ \alpha_1 \to_G^{n_1} \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \to_G^{n_2} \beta_2. \end{array}$$

Definition 3

A syntax tree of a derivation  $\rightarrow_G$  given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is a tree where

Definition 3

A syntax tree of a derivation  $\rightarrow_G$  given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is a tree where

1. every leaf is labeled with a symbol in  $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ 

Definition 3

A syntax tree of a derivation  $\rightarrow_G$  given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is a tree where

- 1. every leaf is labeled with a symbol in  $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ;
- 2. every inner node is labeled with  $A \in V$ , assuming its children are  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in V \cup \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ,  $A \to X_1 \ldots X_n \in P$

Definition 3

A syntax tree of a derivation  $\rightarrow_G$  given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is a tree where

- 1. every leaf is labeled with a symbol in  $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ;
- 2. every inner node is labeled with  $A \in V$ , assuming its children are  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in V \cup \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ,  $A \to X_1 \ldots X_n \in P$ ; and
- 3. a leaf labeled  $\epsilon$  is an only child of its parent.

Definition 3

A syntax tree of a derivation  $\rightarrow_G$  given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is a tree where

- 1. every leaf is labeled with a symbol in  $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ;
- 2. every inner node is labeled with  $A \in V$ , assuming its children are  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in V \cup \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ,  $A \to X_1 \ldots X_n \in P$ ; and
- 3. a leaf labeled  $\epsilon$  is an only child of its parent.

The border of a syntax tree is the labels of its leafs concatenated from left to right.

Definition 3

A syntax tree of a derivation  $\rightarrow_G$  given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  is a tree where

- 1. every leaf is labeled with a symbol in  $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ;
- 2. every inner node is labeled with  $A \in V$ , assuming its children are  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in V \cup \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ ,  $A \to X_1 \ldots X_n \in P$ ; and
- 3. a leaf labeled  $\epsilon$  is an only child of its parent.

The border of a syntax tree is the labels of its leafs concatenated from left to right.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A \rightarrow^*_G w \iff w \in L_G(A) \\ \iff \exists \text{ syntax tree with root } A \text{ and border } w. \end{array}$$

#### Definition 4

- A CFG G is ambiguous if ∃w ∈ L(G) that has two distinct syntax trees.
- A CFL *L* is inherently ambiguous if every CFG *G* with L(G) = L is ambiguous.

#### Definition 5 (Chomsky Normal Form)

All productions are of the form  $A \rightarrow a$  or  $A \rightarrow BC$  for  $a \in Sigma$  and  $A, B, C \in V$ .

#### Definition 5 (Chomsky Normal Form)

All productions are of the form  $A \rightarrow a$  or  $A \rightarrow BC$  for  $a \in Sigma$  and  $A, B, C \in V$ .

Algorithm to convert a CFG to Chomsky Normal Form  $(\mathcal{O}(|P|^2))$ 

1. replace every  $a \in \Sigma$  occurring in a production with length > 1 by a non-terminal

#### Definition 5 (Chomsky Normal Form)

All productions are of the form  $A \rightarrow a$  or  $A \rightarrow BC$  for  $a \in Sigma$  and  $A, B, C \in V$ .

Algorithm to convert a CFG to Chomsky Normal Form  $(\mathcal{O}(|P|^2))$ 

- 1. replace every  $a \in \Sigma$  occurring in a production with length > 1 by a non-terminal
- 2. replace  $A \rightarrow B_1 \dots B_k$  (where k > 2) with  $A \rightarrow B_1 C_2, C_2 \rightarrow B_2, \dots, C_k \rightarrow B_k$

#### Definition 5 (Chomsky Normal Form)

All productions are of the form  $A \rightarrow a$  or  $A \rightarrow BC$  for  $a \in Sigma$  and  $A, B, C \in V$ .

Algorithm to convert a CFG to Chomsky Normal Form  $(\mathcal{O}(|P|^2))$ 

- 1. replace every  $a \in \Sigma$  occurring in a production with length > 1 by a non-terminal
- 2. replace  $A \rightarrow B_1 \dots B_k$  (where k > 2) with  $A \rightarrow B_1 C_2, C_2 \rightarrow B_2, \dots, C_k \rightarrow B_k$
- 3. remove  $\epsilon$ -productions (i.e.  $A \rightarrow \epsilon$ )

#### Definition 5 (Chomsky Normal Form)

All productions are of the form  $A \rightarrow a$  or  $A \rightarrow BC$  for  $a \in Sigma$  and  $A, B, C \in V$ .

Algorithm to convert a CFG to Chomsky Normal Form  $(\mathcal{O}(|P|^2))$ 

- 1. replace every  $a \in \Sigma$  occurring in a production with length > 1 by a non-terminal
- 2. replace  $A \rightarrow B_1 \dots B_k$  (where k > 2) with  $A \rightarrow B_1 C_2, C_2 \rightarrow B_2, \dots, C_k \rightarrow B_k$
- 3. remove  $\epsilon$ -productions (i.e.  $A \rightarrow \epsilon$ )
- 4. remove chain productions (i.e.  $A \rightarrow B$ )

### Definition 6 (Greibach Normal Form)

All productions are of the form  $A \rightarrow aA_1 \dots A_n$  for  $a \in Sigma$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in V$ .

### Definition 6 (Greibach Normal Form)

All productions are of the form  $A \rightarrow aA_1 \dots A_n$  for  $a \in Sigma$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in V$ .

#### Definition 7 (Backus-Naur Normal Form)

Allows the use of regular expressions in productions (in addition to symbols).

Solves the word problem for CFGs.

Solves the word problem for CFGs.

Algorithm  $(\mathcal{O}(|w|^3))$ 

Given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  in Chomsky normal form and  $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ .

Solves the word problem for CFGs.

Algorithm  $(\mathcal{O}(|w|^3))$ 

Given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  in Chomsky normal form and  $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ . Define  $V_{ij} = \{A \in V \mid A \rightarrow^*_G a_i \dots a_j\}$  for  $i \leq j$  as the set of all initial symbols that may be used to derive  $a_j \dots a_j$ .

Solves the word problem for CFGs.

### Algorithm $(\mathcal{O}(|w|^3))$

Given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  in Chomsky normal form and  $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ . Define  $V_{ij} = \{A \in V \mid A \rightarrow^*_G a_i \dots a_j\}$  for  $i \leq j$  as the set of all initial symbols that may be used to derive  $a_i \dots a_j$ . Then  $w \in L_G(A) \iff A \in V_{1n}$ .

Solves the word problem for CFGs.

### Algorithm $(\mathcal{O}(|w|^3))$

Given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  in Chomsky normal form and  $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ . Define  $V_{ij} = \{A \in V \mid A \rightarrow^*_G a_i \dots a_j\}$  for  $i \leq j$  as the set of all initial symbols that may be used to derive  $a_i \dots a_j$ . Then  $w \in L_G(A) \iff A \in V_{1n}$ .

Recursive definition of  $V_{ij}$ :

• base:  $V_{ii} = \{A \in V \mid (A \rightarrow a_i) \in P\}$ 

Solves the word problem for CFGs.

### Algorithm $(\mathcal{O}(|w|^3))$

Given  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$  in Chomsky normal form and  $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ . Define  $V_{ij} = \{A \in V \mid A \rightarrow_G^* a_i \dots a_j\}$  for  $i \leq j$  as the set of all initial symbols that may be used to derive  $a_i \dots a_j$ . Then  $w \in L_G(A) \iff A \in V_{1n}$ .

Recursive definition of  $V_{ij}$ :

• base:  $V_{ii} = \{A \in V \mid (A \rightarrow a_i) \in P\}$ 

step:

$$V_{ij} = \{A \in V \mid \frac{\exists i \leq k < j, B \in V_{ik}, C \in V_{(k+1)j}}{(A \rightarrow BC) \in P} \}$$

### PDA

Definition 8

A pushdown automaton (PDA)  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$ 

Definition 8

A pushdown automaton (PDA)  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$  consists of

• a finite set of states Q

### Definition 8

- a finite set of states Q;
- a (finite) input alphabet  $\Sigma$

### Definition 8

- a finite set of states Q;
- a (finite) input alphabet  $\Sigma$ ;
- a (finite) stack alphabet Γ

### Definition 8

- a finite set of states Q;
- a (finite) input alphabet  $\Sigma$ ;
- a (finite) stack alphabet Γ;
- an initial state  $q_0 \in Q$

### Definition 8

- a finite set of states Q;
- a (finite) input alphabet Σ;
- a (finite) stack alphabet Γ;
- an initial state  $q_0 \in Q$ ;
- an initial stack element  $Z_0 \in \Gamma$

### Definition 8

- a finite set of states Q;
- a (finite) input alphabet Σ;
- a (finite) stack alphabet Γ;
- an initial state  $q_0 \in Q$ ;
- an initial stack element  $Z_0 \in \Gamma$ ;
- a (partial) transition function  $\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times \Gamma \rightarrow 2^{Q \times \Gamma^*}$

### Definition 8

- a finite set of states Q;
- a (finite) input alphabet Σ;
- a (finite) stack alphabet Γ;
- an initial state  $q_0 \in Q$ ;
- an initial stack element  $Z_0 \in \Gamma$ ;
- a (partial) transition function  $\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times \Gamma \to 2^{Q \times \Gamma^*}$ ; and
- a set of terminal (accepting) states  $F \subseteq Q$ .

### Definition 8

A pushdown automaton (PDA)  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$  consists of

- a finite set of states Q;
- a (finite) input alphabet Σ;
- a (finite) stack alphabet Γ;
- an initial state  $q_0 \in Q$ ;
- an initial stack element  $Z_0 \in \Gamma$ ;
- a (partial) transition function  $\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times \Gamma \to 2^{Q \times \Gamma^*}$ ; and
- a set of terminal (accepting) states  $F \subseteq Q$ .

Graphically, transitions are denoted as  $a, Z/\alpha$  where  $a \in \Sigma$  is the input,  $Z \in \Gamma$  is the top stack element, and  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$  replaces Z in the new stack.

#### Definition 9

The configuration of a PDA M is a triple  $(q, w, \alpha)$  where  $q \in Q$  is its state,  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is its remaining input, and  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$  is its stack.

#### Definition 9

The configuration of a PDA M is a triple  $(q, w, \alpha)$  where  $q \in Q$  is its state,  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is its remaining input, and  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$  is its stack.

The initial configuration of M on input  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is  $(q_0, w, Z_0)$ .

#### Definition 9

The configuration of a PDA M is a triple  $(q, w, \alpha)$  where  $q \in Q$  is its state,  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is its remaining input, and  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$  is its stack.

The initial configuration of M on input  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is  $(q_0, w, Z_0)$ .

#### Definition 10

The transition relation of a PDA M is

$$\begin{array}{l} (q, \mathsf{a}\mathsf{w}, Z\alpha) \to_{\mathcal{M}} (q', \mathsf{w}, \beta\alpha) & \text{if } (q', \beta) \in \delta(q, \mathsf{a}, Z) \\ (q, \mathsf{w}, Z\alpha) \to_{\mathcal{M}} (q', \mathsf{w}, \beta\alpha) & \text{if } (q', \beta) \in \delta(q, \epsilon, Z). \end{array}$$

# Definition 11 PDA *M* accepts $w \in \Sigma^*$ with final state if

$$(q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^*_M (f, \epsilon, \gamma) \text{ for } f \in F, \gamma in\Gamma^*.$$
  
So,  $L_F(M) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists f \in F, \gamma \in \Gamma^*. (q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^*_M (f, \epsilon, \gamma) \}.$ 

# Definition 11 PDA *M* accepts $w \in \Sigma^*$ with final state if

$$(q_0, w, Z_0) \to_M^* (f, \epsilon, \gamma) \quad \text{for } f \in F, \gamma \text{ in} \Gamma^*.$$
  
So,  $L_F(M) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists f \in F, \gamma \in \Gamma^*. (q_0, w, Z_0) \to_M^* (f, \epsilon, \gamma) \}.$ 

#### Definition 12

PDA *M* accepts  $w \in \Sigma^*$  with empty stack if

$$(q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^*_M (q, \epsilon, \epsilon) \text{ for } q \in Q.$$
  
So,  $L_{\epsilon}(M) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists q \in Q. \ (q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^*_M (q, \epsilon, \epsilon) \}.$ 

# Definition 11 PDA *M* accepts $w \in \Sigma^*$ with final state if

$$(q_0, w, Z_0) \to_M^* (f, \epsilon, \gamma) \quad \text{for } f \in F, \gamma \text{ in} \Gamma^*.$$
  
So,  $L_F(M) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists f \in F, \gamma \in \Gamma^*. (q_0, w, Z_0) \to_M^* (f, \epsilon, \gamma) \}.$ 

#### Definition 12

PDA *M* accepts  $w \in \Sigma^*$  with empty stack if

$$(q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^*_M (q, \epsilon, \epsilon) \text{ for } q \in Q.$$
  
So,  $L_{\epsilon}(M) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists q \in Q. \ (q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^*_M (q, \epsilon, \epsilon) \}.$ 

Both accepting conditions are equally powerful.

#### Lemma 13 (Extension Lemma)

*Every derivation may occur as a sub-derivation of a larger derivation* 

#### Lemma 13 (Extension Lemma)

*Every derivation may occur as a sub-derivation of a larger derivation:* 

$$(q, u, \alpha) \rightarrow^n_M (q', u', \alpha') \implies (q, uv, \alpha\beta) \rightarrow^n_M (q', u'v, \alpha'\beta).$$

#### Lemma 13 (Extension Lemma)

*Every derivation may occur as a sub-derivation of a larger derivation:* 

$$(q, u, \alpha) \rightarrow^n_M (q', u', \alpha') \implies (q, uv, \alpha\beta) \rightarrow^n_M (q', u'v, \alpha'\beta).$$

#### Lemma 14 (Decomposition Lemma)

*Every derivation that empties the stack can be divided into sub-derivations that each remove a single symbol from the stack* 

#### Lemma 13 (Extension Lemma)

*Every derivation may occur as a sub-derivation of a larger derivation:* 

$$(q, u, \alpha) \rightarrow^n_M (q', u', \alpha') \implies (q, uv, \alpha\beta) \rightarrow^n_M (q', u'v, \alpha'\beta).$$

#### Lemma 14 (Decomposition Lemma)

Every derivation that empties the stack can be divided into sub-derivations that each remove a single symbol from the stack: Given  $(q, w, Z_1 \dots Z_k) \rightarrow_M^n (q', \epsilon, \epsilon)$ 

#### Lemma 13 (Extension Lemma)

*Every derivation may occur as a sub-derivation of a larger derivation:* 

$$(q, u, \alpha) \rightarrow^n_M (q', u', \alpha') \implies (q, uv, \alpha\beta) \rightarrow^n_M (q', u'v, \alpha'\beta).$$

#### Lemma 14 (Decomposition Lemma)

Every derivation that empties the stack can be divided into sub-derivations that each remove a single symbol from the stack: Given  $(q, w, Z_1 ... Z_k) \rightarrow_M^n (q', \epsilon, \epsilon)$ , then  $\forall i \in [1, k]$ .  $\exists u_i, p_i, n_i$  such that

$$(p_{i-1}, u_i, Z_i) \rightarrow^{n_i}_M (p_i, \epsilon, \epsilon)$$

with  $w = u_1 \dots u_k$ ,  $q = p_0$ ,  $q_k = p_k$ , and  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ .

### Given CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ ,

Given CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ ,

1. bring all productions into the form

 $A \rightarrow bB_1 \dots B_k$  for  $b \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ 

Given CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ ,

1. bring all productions into the form

$$A o bB_1 \dots B_k$$
 for  $b \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ 

2. define the PDA  $M = (\{q\}, \Sigma, V, q, S, \delta)$ 

Given CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ ,

1. bring all productions into the form

 $A \rightarrow bB_1 \dots B_k$  for  $b \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ 

2. define the PDA  $M = (\{q\}, \Sigma, V, q, S, \delta)$  with

 $\delta(q, b, A) = \{(q, \beta) \mid (A \to b\beta) \in P\}.$ 

Given CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ ,

1. bring all productions into the form

$$A o bB_1 \dots B_k$$
 for  $b \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ 

2. define the PDA  $M = (\{q\}, \Sigma, V, q, S, \delta)$  with

$$\delta(q, b, A) = \{(q, \beta) \mid (A \rightarrow b\beta) \in P\}.$$

Then,  $L(G) = L_{\epsilon}(M)$ .

### Given PDA $G = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$ ,

Given PDA  $G = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$ , define CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ .

Given PDA  $G = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$ , define CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ .

We define  $V = Q \times \Gamma \times Q \cup \{S\}$  where each  $[q, Z, p] \in V$ describes all possibilities of going from state  $q \in Q$  to state  $p \in Q$ while  $Z \in \Gamma$  is the top stack element.

Given PDA  $G = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$ , define CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ .

We define  $V = Q \times \Gamma \times Q \cup \{S\}$  where each  $[q, Z, p] \in V$ describes all possibilities of going from state  $q \in Q$  to state  $p \in Q$ while  $Z \in \Gamma$  is the top stack element.

We define the productions P as

• 
$$\forall q \in Q. \ S \rightarrow [q_0, Z_0, q]$$

Given PDA  $G = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$ , define CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ .

We define  $V = Q \times \Gamma \times Q \cup \{S\}$  where each  $[q, Z, p] \in V$ describes all possibilities of going from state  $q \in Q$  to state  $p \in Q$ while  $Z \in \Gamma$  is the top stack element.

We define the productions P as

- $\forall q \in Q. \ S \rightarrow [q_0, Z_0, q]$  and
- $\forall (r_0, Z_1 \dots Z_k) \in \delta(q, b, Z). \ \forall r_1, \dots, r_k \in Q.$

Given PDA  $G = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$ , define CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ .

We define  $V = Q \times \Gamma \times Q \cup \{S\}$  where each  $[q, Z, p] \in V$ describes all possibilities of going from state  $q \in Q$  to state  $p \in Q$ while  $Z \in \Gamma$  is the top stack element.

We define the productions P as

• 
$$\forall q \in Q. \ S \rightarrow [q_0, Z_0, q]$$
 and

•  $\forall (r_0, Z_1 \dots Z_k) \in \delta(q, b, Z). \ \forall r_1, \dots, r_k \in Q.$ 

 $[q, Z, r_k] \rightarrow b[r_0, Z_1, r_1][r_1, Z_2, r_2] \dots [r_{k-1}, Z_k, r_k].$ 

Given PDA  $G = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$ , define CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ .

We define  $V = Q \times \Gamma \times Q \cup \{S\}$  where each  $[q, Z, p] \in V$ describes all possibilities of going from state  $q \in Q$  to state  $p \in Q$ while  $Z \in \Gamma$  is the top stack element.

We define the productions P as

• 
$$\forall q \in Q. \ S \to [q_0, Z_0, q] \text{ and}$$
  
•  $\forall (r_0, Z_1 \dots Z_k) \in \delta(q, b, Z). \ \forall r_1, \dots, r_k \in Q.$   
 $[q, Z, r_k] \to b[r_0, Z_1, r_1][r_1, Z_2, r_2] \dots [r_{k-1}, Z_k, r_k].$ 

We observe that

$$[q, Z, r_k] \rightarrow^*_G w \iff (q, w, Z) \rightarrow^*_M (r_k, \epsilon, \epsilon).$$

Given PDA  $G = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, Z_0, \delta, F)$ , define CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S)$ .

We define  $V = Q \times \Gamma \times Q \cup \{S\}$  where each  $[q, Z, p] \in V$ describes all possibilities of going from state  $q \in Q$  to state  $p \in Q$ while  $Z \in \Gamma$  is the top stack element.

We define the productions P as

• 
$$\forall q \in Q. \ S \to [q_0, Z_0, q] \text{ and}$$
  
•  $\forall (r_0, Z_1 \dots Z_k) \in \delta(q, b, Z). \ \forall r_1, \dots, r_k \in Q.$   
 $[q, Z, r_k] \to b[r_0, Z_1, r_1][r_1, Z_2, r_2] \dots [r_{k-1}, Z_k, r_k].$ 

We observe that

$$[q, Z, r_k] \to_G^* w \iff (q, w, Z) \to_M^* (r_k, \epsilon, \epsilon).$$
  
So,  $L(G) = L_{\epsilon}(M).$ 

#### Theorem 15

Given the context-free languages  $L, L_1, L_2$ , then the following are also centext-free languages:

#### Theorem 15

Given the context-free languages  $L, L_1, L_2$ , then the following are also centext-free languages:

• *L*<sub>1</sub>*L*<sub>2</sub>

#### Theorem 15

Given the context-free languages  $L, L_1, L_2$ , then the following are also centext-free languages:

- $L_1L_2;$
- $L_1 \cup L_2$

#### Theorem 15

Given the context-free languages  $L, L_1, L_2$ , then the following are also centext-free languages:

- $L_1L_2;$
- $L_1 \cup L_2$ ; and
- L\*.

#### Theorem 15

Given the context-free languages  $L, L_1, L_2$ , then the following are also centext-free languages:

- $L_1L_2;$
- $L_1 \cup L_2$ ; and
- L\*.

#### Theorem 16

Given the deterministic context-free language L, then  $\overline{L}$  is deterministic context-free.

Lemma 17 (Pumping Lemma for context-free languages) Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be context-free.

#### Lemma 17 (Pumping Lemma for context-free languages)

Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be context-free. Then there exists some n > 0 such that every  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \ge n$  can be decomposed into z = uvwxy

#### Lemma 17 (Pumping Lemma for context-free languages)

Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be context-free. Then there exists some n > 0 such that every  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \ge n$  can be decomposed into z = uvwxy such that

• 
$$vx \neq \epsilon$$

#### Lemma 17 (Pumping Lemma for context-free languages)

Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be context-free. Then there exists some n > 0 such that every  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \ge n$  can be decomposed into z = uvwxy such that

- $vx \neq \epsilon$ ;
- $|vwx| \leq n$

#### Lemma 17 (Pumping Lemma for context-free languages)

Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be context-free. Then there exists some n > 0 such that every  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \ge n$  can be decomposed into z = uvwxy such that

- $vx \neq \epsilon$ ;
- $|vwx| \leq n$ ; and
- $\forall i \geq 0$ .  $uv^i wx^i y \in L$ .

#### Lemma 17 (Pumping Lemma for context-free languages)

Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be context-free. Then there exists some n > 0 such that every  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \ge n$  can be decomposed into z = uvwxy such that

- $vx \neq \epsilon$ ;
- $|vwx| \leq n$ ; and
- $\forall i \geq 0$ .  $uv^i wx^i y \in L$ .

A necessary condition for context-free languages.

#### Example 18 (proof structure)

Assume L is context-free. Let n > 0 be a Pumping Lemma number.

#### Example 18 (proof structure)

Assume *L* is context-free. Let n > 0 be a Pumping Lemma number. Choose  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \ge n$ . Define z = uvwxy with  $vx \ne \epsilon$  and  $|vwx| \le n$ .

#### Example 18 (proof structure)

Assume *L* is context-free. Let n > 0 be a Pumping Lemma number. Choose  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \ge n$ . Define z = uvwxy with  $vx \ne \epsilon$  and  $|vwx| \le n$ . Then,  $\forall i \ge 0$ .  $uv^iwx^iy \in L$ .

### Example 18 (proof structure)

Assume L is context-free.

Let n > 0 be a Pumping Lemma number.

Choose  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \geq n$ .

Define z = uvwxy with  $vx \neq \epsilon$  and  $|vwx| \leq n$ .

Then, 
$$\forall i \geq 0$$
.  $uv'wx'y \in L$ .

Now, use the last statement to find a contradiction separating all possible cases for v and x.